

PORT OF SEATTLE

MEMORANDUM

LE COPY

DATE:

September 16, 1987

TO:

Dave Aggerholm, Manager, Environmental Planning

FROM:

Steve Sewell, General Counse.

SUBJECT:

Soil Conditions at T-91

I spoke with Chuck Blumenfeld regarding the attached. There <u>is</u> a reporting requirement if the substances noted were present in a "reportable quantity." If these were reportable quantities, we should send the attached letter, as the release has to be reported by the owner <u>or</u> operator. If there is a "spill" (which would include ground-water contamination), it must be reported regardless of whether the tests show a reportable quantity.

If we get no response from Chempro, we should discuss this further. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Attachment

cc: Carol Sanders, Assistant Director, Marine Terminals

3428D/SAS/jkl

USEPA RCRA

1616V DRAFT 8/5/87

Letter To Mike Keller, Vice President Chemical Processors

Dear Mr. Keller:

On Juily 15, Doug Hotchkiss of the Port's environmental staff collected a soils sample in an excavation being made by your company for a sewer line modification on the Chempro lease area at Terminal 91. The sample was collected because of strong odors noted during the excavation. I understand you met Doug at the scene earlier that day and discussed the odors.

Analysis of the sample indicates the presence of high concentrations of organic solvents which are listed in WAC 173-303-9903 as "Acutely Dangerous Chemical Products." (see results attached).

I recommend you immediately inform the Washington Department of Ecology about this finding and take any other action you deem appropriate. Please provide information regarding action taken or refer any questions regarding this matter to Dave Aggernolm, the Port's Environmental Manager. He can be reached at 728-3190.

Sincerely,

Dave Aggarholi attch

Steve - Church Soggested intermine DOE. But

if DOE is intermed they will likely require extensive

Sampling at the whole terminal because the we been
initially in it for a long time. Deg are also likely

to require us to interm them when my degine goe and

at the terminal of to take other precautions. This would

force what we've been trying to get Chempro to do

but do we want, to do it this way or now or ...?

I cause Chempro + tall them we want and test result.

RECEIVED
AUG 3 1987
MARINE TERMINALS DEPT PORT OF SEATTLE

PORT OF SEATTLE
Memo DATE X/3/7.7
TO: Steve Squell KCHES SANDERS FROM: Actual Harry Andrea Ext.
FROM: ACUL FOR A ROUN EXT.
of Suggest we Discuss this ASAD
VIS-A-VIS THE CITEMPRO RCRA
SNOIRS (A) YET UNSChadulad +
our lengeted (but on-hold)
underground oil svoies of the recent
"VAPORS" problem at CITY Ice. defect
what's in the fround at T-9/+ to
what's in the fround at T-9/+ to
decide what to do about it.
The firmos are relatively serious Ashould be recioned up INhorne +
& should be followed up - INhornet
probably W/ CITEMPRO.

DATE:

July 31, 1987

TO:

David Aggerholm, Manager Environmental Planning

Steve Sewell, Counsel

FROM:

Doug Hotchkiss, Environmental Planner

SUBJECT:

Terminal 91 Soils Analysis

Recent analysis of soils excavated by Chempro on its lease area at Terminal 91 indicate the presence of a considerable amount of organic solvents (Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene) about 3 to 5 feet below the paved surface.

A sewer line modification, on the Chempro lease area, required the excavation of a hole approximatly 7 feet deep and 4 feet in diameter at the surface, to gain access to a Metro sewer. I inspected the excavation to provide additional backround data on possible soils contamination of the Terminal 91 area. The soils did not show any visual indications of contamination by heavy petroleun products, but a strong odor indicated the presence of organic solvents associated with one level of soil. The soils were saturated with water and definitely not saturated with solvent at that point.

Samples were taken of the soil which emitted the strongest odor and these were analysed for volatile organics to provide additional background data on possible soils contamination of the Terminal 91 area.

The soils were presumably used to backfill the excavation upon completion of the job as the nature of the work displaced very little soil. Mike Keller of Chempro was on site one of the times I visited, and asked me if I saw any problem with backfilling of the hole. I said that I didn't see any problem with backfilling.

The analytical results from the samples have just been recieved and they indicate higher levels than originally perceived on site. These results show that the sample taken from the strongest smelling portion of the excavated pile were above the Hazardous Waste Level and therefore qualify for disposal at Chem Securities site in Arlington, Oregon.



Based on these results, if there are any excavations in the area in the future it would be appropriate to use specialized disposal techniques for some of the soils encountered. It would also be appropriate to inform tenants for their construction, and be aware for Port construction, to take precautions when exposing deep soils in the area. We should monitor the vapors in the excavations and insure worker protection in the way of ventalition fans etc. and minimization of the explosion hazard.

We should also request that Chempro check the air quality in the Metro manhole structure to insure that the pipe that was brought thru the side was adaquately seeled to prevent build up of toxic, explosive, organic solvent vapor levels in the manhole structure.

The type of material also indicates that it is a result of old past practices, not from a recent known source.

Attached is a copy of the analysis data.

그런 그러지는 건물의 불교사원이 교육이 불렀다는 그는 나는 그 중시를

1603V L SOLLE DACEAGE OF THE DAH

cc. Wells

the state of the state of

real office in the one of the the indianal con-

. 124. 2 1.

The sign of the tree trees at the "

in the file file like with the ways like one of part when the same in the congrant sevels them originally serceived in sice. Fine sealis

to be the in the contract of t

AND MEDICAL CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE S

grade and the second

Harris Land Market Land



28 July 1987



ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED

Analytical Chemists & Consultants

333 Ninth Ave. North Seattle. Wa 98109-5187 (206) 621-6490



RE: Samples submitted for Volatile Organics Analysis as ARI Job. #00991.

Dear Doug.

Please find enclosed the data for the above referenced samples.

These samples were run on FINN I which met all Bromofluorobenzene Mass Tuning and ContinuingStandardcalibrationrequirementsforEPA-CLPprotocolsonthedateofanalysis.

Note that the rerun for sample CPS-1 is reported in ppm (mg/Kg) due to the high dilution factor needed to bring the analytes into the calibrated range of the instrument. The purgeable aromatics reported here were 'clean peaks', which suggests that this is not contamination of the nature of a fuel or diesel oil, but some type of solvent contamination.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me.

Citie inte me prodiction and a

Respectfully submitted,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Susan D. Rosa Project Manager

Enclosures

cc: File #00991 Mark Fugiel, AmTest



Analytical Chemists & Consultants

333 Ninth Ave. North Seattle, Wa 98109-5187 (206) 621-6490

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET - METHOD 624

Lab Sample ID: Sample Matrix:

991-A Sediments

Data Release Authorized: Alban

Date Prepared: 7/23/87

Date Analyzed: 7/23/87

Amount analyzed: .00295 gms dry weight

NA

Sample No: CPS-1

QC Report No: 991-POS

Date Received: 7/15/87

Conc/Dil: 1 to 2000

Project No:

CAS Number		μg/Kg
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5400 U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	7100 U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	6300 U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	7500 U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	12000 B
67-64-1	Acetone	20000 U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	3400 U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	7600 U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichlorcethane	3400 U
156-60-5	Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	4600 U
67-66-3	Chloroform	4200 U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	3900 U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	11000 U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	430 M
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	2900 U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	10000 U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	2200 U

CAS Number	-	µg/Kg
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	2700 U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropend	2900 U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	2400 U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	2700 U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2700 U
71-43-2	Benzene	2900 U
	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	2900 U
110-75-8	2-Chloroethylvinylether	4400 U
75-25-2	Bromoform	3200 U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	6100 U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	3200 U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	2000 U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	3600 U
108-88-3	Toluene	1390000K
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	2200 U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	3230000K
100-42-5	Styrene	4600 U
	Total Xylenes	7070000K

with low spectral match parameters.

*Yolatile Organic Surrogate Recoveries

d8-Toluene	128%
Bromofluorobenzene	118%
d4-1,2-Dichloroethane	88.4%

*Surrogate recoveries indicate the validity of a given analysis

Data Reporting Qualiflers

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value.	В	This flag is used when the analyte is found in the blank as well as a sample. Indicates possible/probable blank contamination.
U	Indicates compound was analyzed for but not		
	detected at the given detection limit.	K	This flag is used when quantitated value falls above the limit of the calibration
J	Indicates an estimated value when result is less than specified detection limit.		curve and dilution should be run.
		М	Indicates an estimated value of analyte found and confirmed by analyst but



Analytical Chemists & Consultants

333 Ninth Ave. North Seattle, Wa 98109-5187 (206) 621-6490

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET - METHOD 624

Lab Sample ID:

Sample Matrix:

991-AR Sediments

Data Release Authorized: _

Date Prepared:	7/23/87
Date Analyzed:	7/23/87

Amount analyzed: .000148 gms dry weight

NA

Conc/Dil: 1 to 40000

Project No:

Sample No: CPS-1

QC Report No: 991-POS

Date Received: 7/15/87

CAS Number	*	mg/Kg
74-87-3	Chloromethane	110 U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	140 U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	130 U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	150 U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	300 B
67-64-1	Acetone	390 U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	68 U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichlaroethene	150 U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	68 U
156-60-5	Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	91 U
67-66-3	Chloroform	84 U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	78 U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	210 U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	9 M
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	57 U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	200 U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	44 U

CAS Number	-	mg/Kg
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	54 U'
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropend	57 U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	47'U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	54 U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	54 Us
71-43-2	Benzene	57 U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	57 U
110-75-8	2-Chloroethylvinylether	88 U
75-25-2	Bromoform	64 U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	120 U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	64 U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	41 U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	71 U
108-88-3	Toluene	1700
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	44 U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	7800
100-42-5	Styrene	91 U
	Total Xylenes	22000
		",

with low spectral match parameters.

*Yolatile Organic Surrogate Recoveries

d8-Toluene	104%
Bromofluorobenzene	103%
d4-1,2-Dichloroethane	90.4%

*Surrogate recoveries indicate the validity of a given analysis

Data Reporting Qualifiers

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value.	В	This flag is used when the analyte is found in the blank as well as a sample. Indicates
U	Indicates compound was analyzed for but not		possible/probable blank contamination.
	detected at the given detection limit.	K	This flag is used when quantitated value falls above the limit of the calibration
J	Indicates an estimated value when result is less than specified detection limit.		curve and dilution should be run.
		M	Indicates an estimated value of analyte
			found and confirmed by analyst but



Analytical Chemists & Consultants

333 Ninth Ave. North Seattle, Wa 98109-5187 (206) 621-6490

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET - METHOD 624

Lab Sample ID: Sample Matrix:

991-B Sediments

Data Release Authorized:

Date Prepared: 7/23/87

Date Analyzed: 7/23/87

Amount analyzed: .00265 gms dry weight

NA

Sample No: CPS-2

QC Report No: 991-POS

Date Received: 7/15/87

Conc/Dil: 1 to 2000

Project No:

CAS Number		μq/Kq
74-87-3	Chloromethane	6000 U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	7900 U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	7000 U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	8300 U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	15000 B
67-64-1	Acetone	22000 U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	3800 U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	8500 U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	3800 U
156-60-5	Trans-1,2-Dichlorcethene	5100 U
67-66-3	Chloroform	4700 U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	4300 U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	12000 U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	3000 U
56- 23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	3200 U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	11000 U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	2500 U

CAS Number		μg/Kg
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	3000 U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	3200 U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	2600 U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	3000 U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	3000 U
71-43-2	Benzene	3200 U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	3200 U
110-75-8	2-Chloroethylvinylether	4900 U
75-25-2	Bromoform	3600 U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	6800 U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	3600 U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	2300 U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	4000 U
108-88-3	Toluene	19000
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	2500 U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	200000
100-42-5	Styrene	5100 U
	Total Xylenes	558000

with low spectral match parameters.

*Yolatile Organic Surronate Recoveries

0411 04000 1/0004 01 103	
d8-Toluene	103%
Bromofluorobenzene	105%
d4-1,2-Dichloroethane	91.1%

*Surrogate recoveries indicate the validity of a given analysis

Data Reporting Qualifiers

			•
Yalue	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value.	В	This flag is used when the analyte is found in the blank as well as a sample. Indicates possible/probable blank contamination.
U	Indicates compound was analyzed for but not		possible probable blank containington.
	detected at the given detection limit.	K	This flag is used when quantitated value falls above the limit of the calibration
J	Indicates an estimated value when result is less than specified detection limit.		curve and dilution should be run.
		М	Indicates an estimated value of analyte
			found and confirmed by analyst but



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET - METHOD 624

Lab Sample ID: Sample Matrix:

7/23 MB Sediments

Data Release Authorized:

Date Prepared: 7/23/87 Date Analyzed: 7/23/87

Amount analyzed: 5mls Conc/Dil: 1 to 1

Sample No: Method Blank

QC Report No: 991-POS

Project No: NA Date Received: 7/15/87 333 Ninth Ave. North Seattle, Wa 98109-5187

(206) 621-6490

Analytical

Chemists & Consultants

CAS Number		μg/Kg
74-87-3	Chloromethane	3.2 U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	4.2 U
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	3.7 U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	4.4 U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	1.3 J
67-64-1	Acetone	11.6 U
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	2.0 U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	4.5 U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	2.0 U
156-60-5	Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	2.7 U
67-66-3	Chloroform	2.5 U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	2.3 U
78-93-3	2-Butanone	6.3 U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.6 U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	1.7 U
108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate	5.8 U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	1.3 U

CAS Number		µg/Kg
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	1.6 U
10061-02-6	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.7 U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	1.40
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	1.6 U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.6 U
71-43-2	Benzene	1.70
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.70
110-75-8	2-Chloroethylvinylether	2.6 U
75-25-2	Bromoform	1.9 U
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	3.6 U
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	1.9 U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	1.2 U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	2.1 U
108-88-3	Toluene	1.5 U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	1.3 U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	2.1 U
100-42-5	Styrene	2.7 U
•	Total Xylenes	2.4 U

*Volatile Organic Surrogate Recoveries

2471 24210 11000101 103	The second secon
d8-Toluene	105%
Bromofluorobenzene	104%
d4-1,2-Dichloroethane	89.1%

*Surrogate recoveries indicate the validity of a given analysis

Data Reporting Qualifiers

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value.	В	This flag is used when the analyte is found in the blank as well as a sample. Indicates possible/probable blank contamination.
U	Indicates compound was analyzed for but not detected at the given detection limit.	K	This flag is used when quantitated value falls above the limit of the calibration
J	Indicates an estimated value when result is less than specified detection limit.		curve and dilution should be run.
		М	Indicates an estimated value of analyte found and confirmed by analyst but with low spectral match parameters.

NOTES ON RQs & SOIL CONTAMINATION

Contamination of soils or water by sustances that are clearly not of natural origin is evidence that a "release" has occurred, regardless of the time, rate, or manner of the event.

Regulations vary as to the specifics, but releases must be reported.

- A. Ethylbenzene = FOO3 component, otherwise unlisted (WDOE) RQ: 1000
- B. Toluene = U220, C, I, EHW, acutely dangerous, F005 component (WDOE)
 RQ: 1000
- C. Xylenes = U239, C, I, EHW, acutely dangerous, F003 component (WDOE)
 RQ: 1000
- E. Methylene Chloride = U080, C, H, EHW, acutely dangerous, F001 & F002 component (WDOE)
 RQ: 1*/(1000)

Compounds with a C designation have their concentrations divided by 1000 (multiply by 0.001) when calculating DW/EHW mixtures per WDOE regs.

For FOO1 & FOO2, though normally classed as DW, required to be EHW if concentration exceed 1%.

991-A:

D+E = 12.4 ppm

(CPS-1)

B+C+D+E = 8470 ppm

A = 3230 ppm

So using the waste mixture graph, 8470 ppm/1000 = 0.00085%, which is close to the lower limit of 0.001% for designation as DW (assuming quantity of at least 100 kg.) For RQ, the highest individual is C@ 0.7%. This means a bulk quantity of 72 tons would be needed to reach the RQ. For the total mix, 1.17%, a bulk of 43 tons would be needed to reach a combined RQ.

991-AR:

D+E = 309 ppm

(CPS-1)

B+C+D+E = 24000 ppm

A = 7800

So using the waste mixture graph, 24000ppm/1000 = 0.0024%, which is above the lower limit of 0.001% for designation as DW but below the limit of 0.01% for EHW (assuming quantity of at least 100kg.) D+E is also greater than the 100ppm limit for DW designation by Total HH. For RQ, the highest individual is C @ 2.2%. This means a bulk quantity of 23 tons would be needed to reach the RQ. For the total mix, 3.18%, a bulk of 16 tons would be needed to reach a combined RQ.

991-B

D+E = 15 ppm

(CPS-2)

B+C+D+E = 592 ppm

A = 200 ppm

So using the waste mixture graph, 592ppm/1000 = 0.00006%, which is well below the lower limit of 0.001% for designation as DW (assuming quantity of at least 100kg.) For RQ, the highest individual is C @ 0.06%. This means a bulk quantity of 834 tons would be needed to reach the RQ. For the total mix, 0.08%, a bulk of 625 tons would be needed to reach a combined RQ.

It doesn't appear that the excavation came up with a reportable quantity but that reflects the limited volume excavated.